



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/654,938	05/10/2000	Donald S. Butler	FAR009	7227	
25271	7590 09/16/2002				
GALLAGHER & LATHROP, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION			EXAMINER		
601 CALIFO SUITE 1111	601 CALIFORNIA ST SUITE 1111			KOSTAK, VICTOR R	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2611	71	
			DATE MAILED: 09/16/2002	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No. 09/654,938

Applicant(s)

Butler et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Victor R. Kostak

Art Unit **2611** 



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the						
mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statut	ory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.					
<ul> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the applic</li> </ul>	ation to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
<ul> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this com- earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	munication, even if timely filed, may reduce any					
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This action is	s non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/1835 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) X Claim(s) <u>1-21</u>	is/are pending in the applica					
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from considera					
5)	is/are allowed.					
6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u>	is/are rejected.					
7) 🗌 Claim(s)						
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirem						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are a accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a pproved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol>						
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certi	, ,,					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5)  Winformation Disclosure Statement's) (PTO-1449) Paner No(s)  54  6)	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
3) XInformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)546)	Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/654,938 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

1. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any

errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

2. This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR

1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a

separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed

150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the

printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means"

and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist

readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the

title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns,"

"The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject

matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/654,938 Page 3

Art Unit: 2611

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1 line 2, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). It is suggested that applicant remove that clause altogether because it does not appear necessary to recite the specific PAL or SECAM examples, nor does it add to (or subtract from) any substance or scope of the claim.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by any one of Songer, Weston et al., or Furuhata et al.

Songer inputs a PAL or SECAM video signal (e.g. Abstract) to a converting arrangement (Fig. 6) in which both the field rate and the line count are doubled (col. 13 lines 24-42) in order to eliminate indigenous noise including flicker (e.g. first line of Abstract) and motion artifacts (e.g. col. 2 lines 56-63).

Application/Control Number: 09/654,938

Page 4

Art Unit: 2611

Weston (Fig. 7) also inputs a PAL or SECAM-type interlaced format (col. 4 lines 62-67) and increases both the field rate and the line count by 50% (e.g. Abstract) which effects a reduction in flicker noise and inherently motion artifacts.

Furuhata also applies an PAL formatted input signal (or other formats) to a convertor (Fig. 1) where the field rate and the line rate are effectively doubled (e.g. Abstract; col. 3 lines 23-36) in order to eliminate inherent noise including flicker.

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 6. Claims 2-21 appear allowable over the prior art.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor R. Kostak whose telephone number is (703)-305-4374. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew I. Faile, can be reached on (703) 305-4380. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314.

Application/Control Number: 09/654,938

Page 5

Art Unit: 2611

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone (703) 306-0377.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (For either formal or informal communications intended for entry. For informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Victor R. Kostak

**Primary Examiner** 

4.m

Application/Control Number: 09/654,938 Page 6

Art Unit: 2611

9/10/02